

# Inference of packet-error rates using SNIR patterns via neural networks

### Chimera Solutions in partnership with OMNeT++ Team



# 

# The beginnings

#### Future ...?

- Someone knowledgeable about neural networks could produce great results in a short time
- Proposal article: <u>https://docs.omnetpp.org/articles/neuralnet-errormodels/</u>
- Posted on Reddit: <u>https://www.reddit.com/r/deeplearning/comments/fgb9yb/r</u> <u>equest for advice on neural network architecture/</u>





### Problem statement

Main goal:

Improve speed of current wifi error models

while maintaining the baseline accuracy.



# X

# SNIRs, Error model, PER

**SNIR (input)**: Commonly used in wireless communication as a way to measure the quality of wireless connections

**Error model (what we enhancing):** Describes how the SNIR affects the amount of errors at the receiver.

**Packet Error Rate (output):** is used to test the performance of a receiver. PER is the ratio, in percent, of the number of Test Packets not successfully received by the receiver.



# Problem statement

#### Models we going to mention:

- Scalar Radio Model
  - Analytical formulas
  - Based on single SNIR values
  - fast but still inaccurate
- Layered Radio Model (baseline)
  - Based on the whole SNIR pattern
  - Slow but can be trusted as a baseline measurement in this study (lack of empirical data)

#### - Neural network approach

- Can be quite accurate.
- Can achieve very fast inference speeds.
- Can generalize for different wifi modes.
- Can take into account the whole SNIR pattern by design









## Problem statement





### Motivation: trade-off



### 

=1 OFDM Sy

Frequency

# Exploring the data

	packetError	timeDivision	frequencyDivision	SNIR_0	SNIR_1	SNIR_2	SNIR_3	SNIR_4	SNIR_5	SNIR_6	
0	0.42	8	52	45.39570	35.49330	40.84400	39.25960	37.55480	29.89270	41.27730	
1	0.00	8	52	92.51780	92.20220	49.82480	50.40600	42.85030	40.73410	55.60380	
2	0.01	8	52	76.55170	66.73260	37.70400	46.79620	82.01770	67.10550	50.40940	
3	1.00	8	52	5.20857	4.90663	5.44037	6.72079	5.17013	5.50500	6.15690	
4	0.00	8	52	58.82130	59.34190	96.12950	82.14270	48.66300	53.52890	45.76900	
9995	1.00	8	52	3.69903	3.27762	2.76811	3.39221	6.55110	6.06512	7.43496	
9996	0.17	8	52	46.08240	52.59990	59.25980	61.08370	71.71310	68.08100	44.40600	
9997	0.49	8	52	42.20150	50.46780	54.03000	47.05220	43.58100	42.52460	53.61060	
9998	0.71	8	52	66.20530	90.01320	76.54620	72.24160	61.60140	78.36080	145.99600	
9999	0.76	8	52	11.31480	6.43079	6.38891	9.46025	8.52136	7.24323	6.70294	

10000 rows × 419 columns



FFT

IFFT

Concatenated

Guard

**OFDM Symbols** 

Interval

Raw data: SNIR values corresponding to a packetError value.

FFT Bins

Orthogonal Subcarriers

# Exploring the data



Л

Conjecture: if the humans can recognize relationships between packet error values and the SNIR patterns, there is a great chance that neural network can do also and even doing better.



#### Increasing packet error values



# Exploring the data

Conjecture: if humans can recognize relationships between packet error values and the SNIR patterns, there is a great chance that neural network can do also and even doing better.

Try yourself!

colab-notebook demo

Interactive 3D clustering demo:

https://skfb.ly/ozB6B

# Methods we tried



#### **Convolutional Neural Networks**

#### expectations:

- compatible with different input sizes
- excellent in image like pattern recognition
- limitation: limited long range interactions in the data

#### reality:

- in this particular case it worked but it was very hard to achieve good accuracy
- it was not as robust as we thought for architectural changes
- it was hard to tune and train it with this data
- it generalized poorly



Packet Error Rate: 0.31

Χ

# Methods we tried

### XGBOOST

#### expectations:

- SOTA in tabular data inference
- fast and generalizes exceptionally
- limitation: input can be fixed size data only

#### reality:

-for fixed size SNIR matrices it achieved very good accuracy and generalized well, but we needed it to work for variable SNIR sizes, so we just could not use it.





# Methods we tried



Ζ

#### LSTM

#### expectations:

- recognizing long range interaction in data
- excellent for time dependent data
- excellent for variable data size
- limitation: longer training times due to more sequential structure

#### reality: it satisfied all of our expectations

# LSTM network and settings which worked

#### Model configuration and topology:

Lightweight, and fast

Input:



**input size:** timeDivision X frequencyDivision (fixed) (it was fixed in the data as well...)

batched input -> batch size as hyperparameter



Μ

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
lstm (LSTM)	(None,None, 64)	29952
lstm_1 (LSTM)	(None, 64)	33024
dense (Dense)	(None, 10)	650
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 5)	55
dense_2 (Dense)	(None, 1)	

Total params: 63,687 Trainable params: 63,687 Non-trainable params: 0





# LSTM network and settings which worked

**Conjecture:** 

LSTM-s are designed for recognizing patterns which are "far from each other", e.g. time series data points distant from each other with respect to time, SNIR values can be distant in frequency...

Example for our conjecture in the study of long-range amino acid interaction inference:

https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/33/18/2842/3738544

CNN locality by design: <u>https://abenezer-g.medium.com/part-1-convolutional-neural-network-in-a-nutshell</u> <u>-89f81a329ec3</u> -> "The reason why CNN is best at image classification" section





### Neural Network integration to existing pipeline



## Results

#### Training on 160.000 lines,

- Split the data. 80% training, 20% testing.
- We place the data into batches with identical timeDivision.
- We transform the data to have a list with shapes of **batch\_size x timeDivision x frequencyDivision**.



Target and predicted value distributions are very similar.



Predicted values plotted in the function of target values.

# Predicted vs Target values:

X

RMSE: 0.059539 Corr coeff: 0.9893

### Results



The closer the points to the green ones, "the better", due to the lack of

Increasing noise (Noise duration x Noise Power [us x mW])

X

Scalar Radio model

Neural Network

# 

# Results

#### Comparing the

Scalar Radio Model (blue) and Neural Network approach (orange) to the Layered Radio Model (green).

Comparison with respect of computational time.





# Results: trade-off is fulfilled





Neural Network approach can be orders of magnitude faster.



# Conclusion

The novel neural network approach in agreement with the Layered Radio model baseline, while it has a significant speed up in computation time, especially when the packet length is large.

With the frugally-deep environment, our Keras-python implementation is compatible to the existing OMNET++ ecosystem, making this project potentially valuable for future studies and relevant use cases.

In the future we are planning to continue the collaboration and expand our model to generalize to multiple WIFI modes as for now it is only compatible with a single specific mode.

### Tools used



Creating the architecture, train, inference



### frugally-deep

Omnet++ team integrated our model with it to their pipeline



Х

Data visualization, showcasing, data management.



# Thank you

Check out our other projects: www.chimeramultimedia.com

Follow us on Twitter, Instagram, LinkedIn, YouTube, join our Discord:

www.chimeramultimedia.com/contact



