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Motivation: Need for Parallel Network Simulation

- IBM’s Venus HPC Network Simulator is built on OMNeT++
  - Significant IBM investment over the last 5 years
- OMNeT++ provides basic building blocks and tools to develop sequential event-driven models
- Written in C++ with a rich class library that provides:
  - Sim kernel, RNG, stats, topology discovery
  - “Modules” and “channels” abstractions
  - NED language for easy model configuration
- Challenge: sequential simulation execution times of days to weeks depending on the traffic load and topology size
- Solution: Enable scalable parallel network simulation for Venus network models on the Blue Gene/Q and MPI clusters

Goal: 50 to 100x speedup using BG/Q
IBM Blue Gene/Q Architecture

• 1.6 GHz IBM A2 processor
• 16 cores (4-way threaded) + 17th core for OS to avoid jitter and an 18th to improve yield
• 204.8 GFLOPS (peak)
• 16 GB DDR3 per node
• 42.6 GB/s bandwidth
• 32 MB L2 cache @ 563 GB/s
• 55 watts of power
• 5D Torus @ 2 GB/s per link for all P2P and collective comms

1 Rack =
• 1024 Nodes, or
• 16,384 Cores, or
• Up to 65,536 threads or MPI tasks
“Balanced” Supercomputer @ CCI

- IBM Blue Gene/Q
  - 5120 nodes / 81920 cores
  - 1 teraFLOPS @ 2+ GF/watt
  - 10PF and 20PF DOE systems
  - Exec Model: MPI + threads
  - 80 TB RAM
  - 160 I/O nodes (4x over other BG/Qs)

- Clusters
  - 64 Intel nodes @ 128 GB RAM each
  - 32 Intel nodes @ 256 GB each

- Disk storage: ~2 Petabytes
  - IBM ESS w/ GPFS
  - Bandwidth: 5 to ~20 GB/sec

- FDR 56 Gbit/sec Infiniband core network
OMNeT++: Null Message Protocol (NMP)

**Null Message Protocol** (executed by each MPI rank):

**Goal:** Ensure events are processed in time stamp order and avoid deadlock

**WHILE** (simulation is not over)

- wait until each FIFO contains at least one message
- remove smallest time stamped event from its FIFO
- process that event
- send null messages to neighboring LPs with time stamp indicating a lower bound on future messages sent to that LP (current time plus minimum transit time between cModules or cSimpleModules)

**END-LOOP**

Variation: LP requests null message when FIFO becomes empty
- Fewer null messages
- Delay to get time stamp information
NMP and Lookahead Constraint

• The Null Message Protocol relies on a “prediction” ability referred to as **lookahead**

• **Airport example:** “ORD at simulation time 5, minimum transit time between airports is 3, so the next message sent by ORD must have a time stamp of *at least 8*”

• **Link lookahead:** If an LP is at simulation time $T$, and an outgoing link has lookahead $L_i$, then any message sent on that link must have a time stamp of at least $T+L_i$

• **LP Lookahead:** If an LP is at simulation time $T$, and has a lookahead of $L$, then any message sent by that LP must have a time stamp of at least $T+L$
  - Equivalent to link lookahead where the lookahead on each outgoing link is the same
NMP: The Time Creep Problem

Assume minimum delay between airports is 3 units of time
JFK initially at time 5

Many null messages if minimum flight time is small!

Null messages:
JFK: timestamp = 5.5
SFO: timestamp = 6.0
ORD: timestamp = 6.5
JFK: timestamp = 7.0
SFO: timestamp = 7.5
ORD: process time stamp 7 message

Five null messages to process a single event!
Null Message Algorithm: Speed Up

- toroid topology
- message density: 4 per LP
- 1 millisecond computation per event

- vary time stamp increment distribution
- ILAR = lookahead / average time stamp increment

Conservative algorithms live or die by their lookahead!
Overview of YAWNs Into OMNeT++

```plaintext
YAWNS_Event_Processing()
// This is a windowing type protocol
// to avoid NULL messages!!
while true do
    process network queues
    process inbound event queue
    if smallest event >= GVT + Lookahead then
        compute new GVT
    end if
    if simulation end time then
        break
    end if
    process events subject to:
        event.ts < GVT + Lookahead
end while
```

- Must use OMNeT++ existing parallel simulation framework due to object ownership rules
- Migrated YAWNS implementation from ROSS into OMNeT++
  - ROSS has shown great performance out to 16K cores
  - Translated iterative scheduler into a re-entrant one using API
- Uses a single global model “lookahead” value
- Allows zero timestamp increment messages to “self”
- Can switch from NullMessage or YAWNS w/i OMNeT++ model config.
YAWNS vs. Optimistic on 16K BG/L Cores Using ROSS

At large lookaheads, conservative and optimistic performance are nearly equal.

Conservative very poor at low lookahead relative to avg. TS increment which we can have in system models.
GVT (kicks off when memory is low):

1. Each core counts #sent, #recv
2. Recv all pending MPI msgs.
3. MPI_Allreduce Sum on (#sent - #recv)
4. If #sent - #recv != 0 goto 2
5. Compute local core’s lower bound time-stamp (LVT).
6. GVT = MPI_Allreduce Min on LVTs

An interval parameter or lack of local events controls when GVT is done.

Repurposed GVT to implement conservative YAWNS algorithm!

GVT is typically used by Time Warp/Optimistic synchronization
• OMNeT++ Parsim API supports new conservative parallel algorithms
• NMP and “ideal” supported
• New algorithm must write the following methods:
  • class constructor and destructor
  • startRun() :
  • setContext() :
  • endRun() :
  • processOutgoingMessage() :
  • processReceivedBuffer() :
  • getNextEvent() :
  • reScheduleEvent() ;
OMNeT++ YAWNs: startRun() & endRun()

cYAWNS::startRun()
- Init segment and partition information
- Exec correct lookahead calculation method using segment/partition information
- Note, OPP::SimTime::getMaxTime() does not work on Blue Gene/Q.
  - MaxTime hardwired to 10 seconds


cYAWNS::endRun()
- Computes one last GVT if needed
- Cleans-up the lookahead calc
- Need to more fully understand OMNeT’s exception generation and handling mechanisms
OMNeT++ YAWNs: Processing Messages

cYAWNS::processOutGoingMessages()
• All remote messages sent using “blocking” MPI operations
• Message data is “packed” into a single block of memory
  • Records destination module ID and gate ID information
  • Model messages tagged as CMESSAGE
• Increments message sent counter used by GVT

cYAWNS::processReceivedBuffer()
• “Unpacks” MPI message into a cMessage class
• Increments message recv’ed counter used by GVT
cMessage *cYAWNS::getNextEvent()

static unsigned batch = 0;
cMessage *msg;
while (true)
{
    batch++;
    if(batch == YAWNS_BATCH) {
        batch = 0;
        tw_gvt_step1(); //ROSS
        tw_gvt_step2(); //ROSS
    }
    if(GVT == YAWNS_ENDRUN)
        return NULL;
    if( GVT > endOfTime )
        return NULL;
    msg=sim->msgQueue.peekFirst();
    if (!msg) continue;
    if (msg->getArrivalTime() > GVT + LA)
    {
        batch = YAWNS_BATCH - 1;
        continue;
    }
    return msg;
} // end while

return msg;
Porting OMNeT++ to IBM Blue Gene/Q

- Run ./configure on standard Linux system
  - OMNeT ./configure will not complete on BG/Q
- Move OMNeT++ repo to Blue Gene/Q front end
- Build flex, bison, libXML, Sqllite3 and zlib for BG/Q.
- Turn off TCL/TK
- Edit Makefile.in for BG/Q
  - Switch to IBM XLC compiler from GCC
  - Flags: -O3 -qhot -qqpic=large -qstrict
  - qarch=qp -qtune=qp -qmaxmem=-1 -DHAVE_SWAPCONTEXT -DHAVE_PCAP -DWITH_PARSIM -DWITH_MPI -DWITH_NETBUILDER
- Discovered connection of remote gates create MPI failure at > 256 cores
Re-write of cParsimPartition::connectRemoteGates()

- **Original Algorithm:** each MPI rank would send a point-2-point message to all other ranks with list of cGate objects
- **Failure mode:** would result in each MPI rank needing to dedicated GBs of RAM to MPI internal memory for message data handling.
- **MPI on BG/Q** was not intended to be used this way @ larger rank counts
- **Re-write approach:** Let each MPI rank use MPI_Bcast to send it’s cGate object data to all other rank.
- **Other mod:** use gate index and not name to look-up gate object on receivers sides.
  - **Improved Performance by 6x**

At 2K MPI ranks, takes about ~30 mins to init a 64K node network model
Venus Network Model Configuration

- 65,536 node Fat Tree, 3 levels, double sided
  - 64 ports switches
  - 2K switches @ L1 and L2, 1K @ L3, 5120 switches total
- Random nearest neighbor traffic
  - 25%, 50%, 80% max injection workload
- **Link bandwidth**: 50 GB/sec
- **Link delay**: 10.2 ns
- Network adaptor and switch delays: 100 ns
- Sim time: 120 us
- **Routing**: DModK
- **Serial Platform**: AMD Opteron 6272, 2.1 GHz, 512 GB RAM
- **Parallel Platform**: “AMOS” 5-rack BG/Q system, 1K cores used
## Validation of Venus Model in Parallel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Output Stats</th>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>NMP</th>
<th>YAWNS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total packets processed</td>
<td>507,666,409</td>
<td>507,664,860</td>
<td>507,665,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughput (pkts/sec)</td>
<td>$5.09353e+12$</td>
<td>$5.09351e+12$</td>
<td>$5.09351e+12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max injection rate estimate</td>
<td>79.3229</td>
<td>79.3226</td>
<td>79.3227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. of pkts with 1 hop</td>
<td>241,246</td>
<td>241,753</td>
<td>241,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. of pkts with 3 hops</td>
<td>7,702,089</td>
<td>7,707,681</td>
<td>7,707,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. of pkts with 5 hops</td>
<td>499,723,074</td>
<td>499,715,426</td>
<td>499,715,719</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of serial and parallel run key output statistics for 80% traffic load configuration. The serial column denotes output statistics from the single processor execution of the Venus network model. The NMP column denotes output statistics from a parallel execution of the Venus network model using the Null Message Protocol (NMP). The YAWNS column denotes output statistics from a parallel execution of the Venus network model using the YAWNS protocol. All parallel runs were made using 128 BG/Q nodes, 8 cores/MPI ranks per node.
Run Time: YAWNS vs. NMP @ 25% Workload

Run time comparison for NMP and YAWNS at 25% load

Running time (seconds)

Nodes used

64 128 256 512

NMP YAWNS
MPI Time: YAWNS vs. NMP @ 25% Workload

MPI time comparison for NMP and YAWNS at 25% load

Total time spent in MPI (seconds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nodes used</th>
<th>NMP</th>
<th>YAWNS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Run Time: YAWNS vs. NMP @ 80% Workload

Run time comparison for NMP and YAWNS at 80% load

- YAWNS
- NMP

Nodes used: 64, 128, 256, 512

Running time (seconds): 1500, 2000, 2500, 3000, 3500, 4000, 4500, 5000, 5500
MPI Time: YAWNS vs. NMP @ 80% Workload

MPI time comparison for NMP and YAWNS at 80% load

Nodes used

- 64
- 128
- 256
- 512

Total time spent in MPI

- NMP
- YAWNS
### Speedup of YAWNS on BG/Q vs. AMD Server

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Load</th>
<th>Serial Exec. Time</th>
<th>YAWNS Exec. Time</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>70,038 secs</td>
<td>1,340 secs on 256 nodes</td>
<td>52.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>119,926 secs</td>
<td>1,875 secs on 512 nodes</td>
<td>63.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Overall parallel speedup for YAWNS on 1024 cores for 50% and 80% traffic load scenarios. The YAWNS execution time is the shortest execution time among 1024 MPI rank runs using 64, 128, 256 and 512 nodes. All times are shown in seconds and reports the pure simulation execution times not including any time spent in model initialization or setup.
Future Work

- Ensure YAWNS works with all uses of OMNeT++ exceptions
  - Still a work-in-progress
- Modify OMNeT++ MPI layer to use non-blocking MPI send/recv operations
- Enable MPI ranks to be “idle” processes to support wider range of network configurations and parallel partitions (e.g. a 13824 node network does not map well to 1024 BG/Q cores)
- Conduct detailed performance study of YAWNS on:
  - Changes in topology
  - Changes in topology size/scale
  - Changes in network partitioning
  - Changes in model lookahead
- Release YAWNS implementation as open source